P/546-279

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Reissue Application of

WILLIAM STERN

Date: September 22, 2006

Serial No.: 10/774,358 (Reissue of USP 6,440,392)

Group Art Unit: 1616

Filed: February 5, 2004

Examiner: Mina Haghighatian

For: NASAL CALCITONIN FORMULATION

Mail Stop Reissue Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §1.178(b)

Sir:

Introductory Comments

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.178(b) and M.P.E.P. 1442.04, Applicant submits this paper to provide information regarding:

- (1) a concurrent litigation involving U.S. Patent No. 6,440,392, which patent is the subject of this Reissue application;
- (2) information sufficient to allow the Office to reasonably evaluate the need for requesting further materials; and
- (3) descriptions and copies of supporting materials.

I. Concurrent Litigation

As noted above, this is an application for reissue of U.S. Patent No. 6,440,392 ("the '392 Patent). The '392 Patent is involved in the following concurrent litigation:

00788177.1

Unigene Laboratories, Inc. and Upsher-Smith Laboratories, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc. and Apotex Corp. (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Apotex"), in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Civil Action No. 06-cv-5571-RPP-THK (ECF Case). A copy of the Complaint filed by Plaintiffs in this matter is appended hereto. The Complaint includes, as Attachment A, a copy of the '392 Patent under reissue. The Complaint is, in addition, listed on the Form PTO-1449 submitted with this Information Disclosure Statement.

II. The Notice Letter

Plaintiffs have received a so-called "Notice Letter" dated June 1, 2006 from Apotex, Inc. pursuant to Section 505(j)(2)(B) (i) and (ii) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. A copy of the Notice Letter is provided herewith. The letter is also listed on the accompanying Form PTO-1449. The Notice Letter contains allegations relating to the validity and enforceability of the '392 Patent.

A. Allegations Based on Prior Art

Apotex has made allegations against the validity of the '392 Patent in the Notice Letter. In particular, pps. 3-9 of the Notice Letter contains arguments by Apotex that one or more of the claims of the '392 Patent are invalid in light of the disclosure of one or more prior art references that are discussed therein. All but one such reference (copy enclosed herewith and cited on the Form PTO-1449) was already of record in this Reissue.

B. Allegations of Inequitable Conduct

Allegations are also raised by Apotex at pps. 9-10 of the Notice Letter that the '392 Patent is unenforceable due to inequitable conduct by the applicant during prosecution of the '392 Patent. Applicant denies that any inequitable conduct occurred.

The information provided herein is submitted for consideration by the Examiner in connection with this application for reissue, and to allow the Examiner to consider the need for requesting additional information.

00788177.1 -2-

Check No. <u>25800</u> which includes a \$180.00 fee for the submission of this Information Disclosure Statement, is enclosed herewith. In the event the actual fee is greater than the payment submitted or is inadvertently not enclosed, or if any additional fee during the prosecution of this reissue application is not paid, the Patent Office is authorized to charge the underpayment to Deposit Account No. 15-0700.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Reissue, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on September 22, 2006:

Mark A. Farley

Name of applicant, assignee or Registered Representative

Signature

September 22, 2006

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

Mark A. Farley

Registration No.: 33,170

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700

WOG:MAF:jl/db

	SEP 2 8 2006 B			10/774,358	OFGS File No. P/546-279				
APPLICANTS ART CITATION Application 10/774,358 OFGS File No. P/546-279 Applicant WILLIAM STERN									
(Us	se several sheets if necessary)		Filing Date	February 5, 2004	Group Art Unit 1616				
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS (not submitted for applications filed after 6/30/03)									
Examiner Initial			Date MM- 'YY	Name	Class	Sub- class	Filing Date If Appropriate		
	US- 5,514,365	05-	1996	Mardente, et al.	424	45			
	US-		·						
	US-	US-			,				
,	US-								
	US-								
	US-								
	US-								
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS									
			Date MM-		CI	Sub-	Translation		
	Document Number		YY	Country	Class	class	Yes	No	
		 							
		 							
		 							
		<u> </u>							
OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)									
	Apotex Notice Letter - June 1, 2006								
	Complaint by U	Complaint by Unigene Laboratories, Inc., et al. v. Apotex, Inc., et al., 06-cv-5571-RPP-							
	THK (EFC Case) - U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York								
Examiner			Date Conside	ered				•	
EXAMINER not consider	R: Initial if citation considered, when	nether or	not citation	is in conformance with MPEP § 609; D	Fraw line thro	ugh citation if n	ot in conform	nance and	